

**Screening for the Eye Irritancy Potential**  
**using the**  
**Chorioallantoic Membrane Assay**  
**with**  
**Diniobium Pentaoxide**

**Report**

**Version: Final**

**Date: 19 November 2009**

**BSL BIOSERVICE Study No.: 092570B**

**Sponsor:**

*CBMM Europe BV*  
*WTC H- Tower*  
*Zuidplein 96*  
*1077 XV, Amsterdam*  
*The Netherlands*

**BSL BIOSERVICE** Scientific Laboratories GmbH

Behringstrasse 6/8 · 82152 Planegg, Germany  
Telefon +49(0)89-899 65 00 · Fax +49(0)89-899 65 011  
e-mail: [info@bioservice.com](mailto:info@bioservice.com) · [www.bioservice.com](http://www.bioservice.com)  
Geschäftsführer: Dr. Wolfram Riedel  
Amtsgericht München, HRB 109 770  
Erfüllung und Gerichtsstand München  
NORD/LB Norddeutsche Girozentrale, BLZ 250 500 00, Kto. 151 328 523, Swift-BIC: NOLADE2HXXX, IBAN: DE76 2505 0000 0151 3285 23  
Deutsche Bank München, BLZ 700 700 24, Kto. 9 407 750, Swift-BIC: DEUTDE33MUC, IBAN: DE52 7007 0024 0940 7750 00



Akkreditiert durch  
Zentralstelle der Länder  
für Gesundheitsschutz  
bei Arzneimitteln  
und Medizinprodukten  
ZLG-P-986.96.01

## 1. Copy of the GLP Certificate



**BAYERISCHES LANDESAMT  
FÜR GESUNDHEIT UND LEBENSMITTELSICHERHEIT,  
LANDESINSTITUT FÜR ARBEITSSCHUTZ UND PRODUKTSICHERHEIT**  
Pfarrstraße 3 · 80538 München · Telefon (089) 21 84-0

**GLP-Bescheinigung/Statement of GLP Compliance**  
(gemäß/according to § 19b Abs. 1 Chemikaliengesetz)

Eine GLP-Inspektion zur Überwachung der Einhaltung der GLP-Grundsätze gemäß Chemikaliengesetz bzw. Richtlinie 2004/9/EG wurde durchgeführt in:

Assessment of conformity with GLP according to Chemikaliengesetz and Directive 2004/9/EC at:

Prüfeinrichtung/Test facility       Prüfstandort/Test site

**BSL Bioservice Scientific Laboratories GmbH**  
**Behringstrasse 6 - 8**  
**82152 Planegg**

(Unverwechselbare Bezeichnung und Adresse/Unequivocal name and address)

Prüfungen nach Kategorien/Areas of Expertise  
(gemäß/according ChemVwV-GLP Nr. 5.3/OECD guidance)

**2 Prüfungen auf toxikologische Eigenschaften**  
**3 Prüfungen auf mutagene Eigenschaften**  
**9 Sonstige Prüfungen:**

**a) Mikrobiologische Sicherheitsprüfungen**  
**b) Wirksamkeitsprüfungen an Zellkulturen**

Datum der Inspektion/Date of Inspection

(Tag, Monat, Jahr/day, month, year)

**16./17.09.2008**

Die/Der genannte Prüfeinrichtung/Prüfstandort befindet sich im nationalen GLP-Überwachungsverfahren und wird regelmäßig auf Einhaltung der GLP-Grundsätze überwacht.

The above mentioned test facility/test site is included in the national GLP Compliance Programme and is inspected on a regular basis.

Auf der Grundlage des Inspektionsberichtes wird hiermit bestätigt, dass in dieser Prüfeinrichtung/ diesem Prüfstandort die oben genannten Prüfungen unter Einhaltung der GLP-Grundsätze durchgeführt werden können.

Based on the inspection report it can be confirmed, that this test facility/test site is able to conduct the aforementioned studies in compliance with the Principles of GLP.

München, 06.04.2009

Ritter  
Leitender Gewerbedirektor



## 2. Contents

	page
<b>1. Copy of the GLP Certificate</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2. Contents</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>3. List of Tables and Figures</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>4. Preface</b>	<b>5</b>
4.1. <i>Abbreviations</i>	5
4.2. <i>General</i>	6
4.3. <i>Project Staff</i>	6
4.4. <i>Schedule</i>	6
<b>5. Project Staff Signatures</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>6. Quality Assurance</b>	<b>8</b>
6.1. <i>GLP Compliance</i>	8
6.2. <i>Guidelines</i>	8
6.3. <i>Archiving</i>	8
<b>7. Statement of Compliance</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>8. Statement of the Quality Assurance Unit</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>9. Summary</b>	<b>11</b>
9.1. <i>Conclusions</i>	11
<b>10. Aim of the Study</b>	<b>12</b>
10.1. <i>Justification for Selection of the Test System</i>	12
10.2. <i>Justification for Selection of the Test Method</i>	12
<b>11. Materials and Methods</b>	<b>13</b>
11.1. <i>Characterisation of the Test Item</i>	13
11.2. <i>Preparation of the Test Item</i>	13
11.3. <i>Incubation of Eggs</i>	13
11.4. <i>Assay Preparation</i>	14
11.5. <i>Assay Procedure</i>	14
11.6. <i>Test Groups</i>	14
11.7. <i>Positive Control Substances:</i>	15
11.8. <i>Evaluation of Results</i>	15
<b>12. Deviations from the Study Plan</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>13. Results and Discussion</b>	<b>18</b>
13.1. <i>Conclusions</i>	18
<b>14. Distribution of the Report</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>15. References</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>16. Appendix – Certificate of Analysis</b>	<b>22</b>

### 3. List of Tables and Figures

	page
Table 1: Evaluation of the CAM Assay .....	16
Table 2: Results of the Chorioallantoic Membrane Assay - Control .....	18
Table 3: Results of the Chorioallantoic Membrane Assay – Test Item .....	19

## 4. Preface

### 4.1. Abbreviations

BGBI.	Bundesgesetzblatt
GLP	Good Laboratory Practice
OECD	Organisation of Economic Cooperation and Development
QA	Quality Assurance
QAU	Quality Assurance Unit
SOP	Standard Operating Procedures

#### 4.2. General

Sponsor: CBMM Europe BV  
WTC H- Tower  
Zuidplein 96  
1077 XV, Amsterdam  
The Netherlands

Study Monitor: Mr Jorge Davo  
CBMM  
Companhia Brasileira de Metalurgia  
e Mineração  
Córrego da Mata s/n  
38183-903 Araxá - MG  
Brasil

Test Facility: BSL BIOSERVICE  
Scientific Laboratories GmbH  
Behringstraße 6/8  
82152 Planegg  
Germany

BSL BIOSERVICE Study No.: 092570B

Test Item: Diniobium Pentaoxide

Title: Screening for the Eye Irritancy Potential using  
the Chorioallantoic Membrane Assay  
with Diniobium Pentaoxide

#### 4.3. Project Staff

Study Director: Dr. Achim Albrecht  
Deputy Study Director: Dr. Daniela Stelter  
Management: Dr. Wolfram Riedel  
Dr. Angela Lutterbach

Head of  
Quality Assurance Unit: Dipl.-Biol. Uwe Hamann


#### 4.4. Schedule

Arrival of the Test Item: 20 July 2009  
Date of Draft Study Plan: 30 July 2009  
Date of Final Study Plan: 07 August 2009  
Start of Experiment: 04 October 2009  
End of Experiment: 14 October 2009  
Date of Draft Report: 09 November 2009  
Date of Final Report: 19 November 2009

## 5. Project Staff Signatures

Study Director

Dr. Achim Albrecht



Date: 19 Nov 2009

Management



Print Name: Dr. Angela Lutterbach

Date: 19 Nov 2009

## 6. Quality Assurance

### 6.1. GLP Compliance

This study was conducted to comply with:

Chemikaliengesetz (“Chemicals Act”) of the Federal Republic of Germany, Appendix 1 to § 19a as amended and promulgated on June 20, 2002 (BGBl. I Nr. 40 S. 2090), revised October 31, 2006 (BGBl. I Nr. 50 S. 2407).

OECD Principles of Good Laboratory Practice (as revised in 1997); OECD Environmental Health and Safety Publications; Series on Principles of Good Laboratory Practice and Compliance Monitoring - Number 1. Environment Directorate, Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, Paris 1998.

This study was assessed for compliance with the study plan and the Standard Operating Procedures of BSL BIOSERVICE. The study and/or the test facility were periodically inspected by the Quality Assurance unit according to the corresponding SOPs. These inspections and audits were carried out by the Quality Assurance unit, personnel independent of staff involved in the study. A signed Quality Assurance Statement, listing all performed audits, is included in the report.

### 6.2. Guidelines

There are no OECD and EC guidelines and recommendations available for this test system.

### 6.3. Archiving

The following records will be stored in the scientific archives of BSL BIOSERVICE Scientific Laboratories GmbH according to the GLP Regulations:

A copy of the final report, the study plan and a documentation of all raw data generated during the conduct of the study (documentation forms as well as any other notes of raw data, printouts of instruments and computers) and the correspondence with the Sponsor concerning the study.

If test item is left, a sample will be stored according to the period fixed by the GLP Regulations. Samples that are unstable may be disposed of before that time. No raw data or material relating to the study will be discarded without the Sponsor’s prior consent. Unless otherwise agreed upon, the remaining test item will be discarded three months after the release of the report.



## 7. Statement of Compliance

BSL BIOSERVICE-  
Study No.: 092570B  
Test Item: Diniobium Pentaoxide  
Title: Screening for the Eye Irritancy Potential using  
the Chorioallantoic Membrane Assay  
with Diniobium Pentaoxide  
Study Director: Dr. Achim Albrecht


This study performed in the test facility BSL BIOSERVICE Scientific Laboratories GmbH was conducted in compliance with Good Laboratory Practice Regulations:

Chemikaliengesetz ("Chemicals Act") of the Federal Republic of Germany, Appendix 1 to § 19a as amended and promulgated on June 20, 2002 (BGBl. Nr. 40 S. 2090), revised October 31, 2006 (BGBl. I Nr. 50 S. 2407).

"OECD Principles of Good Laboratory Practice (as revised in 1997)", Paris 1998.

There were no circumstances that may have affected the quality or integrity of the study.

Study Director: Dr. Achim Albrecht



.....

Date: 03 Dec 2009 .....

## 8. Statement of the Quality Assurance Unit

BSL BIOSERVICE-  
Study No.: 092570B  
Test Item: Diniobium Pentaoxide  
Title: Screening for the Eye Irritancy Potential using  
the Chorioallantoic Membrane Assay  
with Diniobium Pentaoxide  
Study Director: Dr. Achim Albrecht

This report was audited by the Quality Assurance unit and the conduct of this study was inspected on the following dates:

<i>Phases of QAU Inspections</i>	<i>Dates of QAU Inspections</i>	<i>Dates of Reports to the Study Director and Management</i>
Audit Final Study Plan:	12 August 2009	12 August 2009
Audit experimental Phase (Method Audit):	15 June 2009	15 June 2009
Audit Final Report:	27 November 2009	27 November 2009

This report reflects the raw data.

Member of the  
Quality Assurance unit:



Print Name: Dipl. oec. troph. Ulrike Fuhrmann

Date: 03 Dec 2009

## 9. Summary

The eye irritancy potential of the test item was investigated in the chorioallantoic membrane assay.

The test item was tested as provided by the sponsor in order to find any irritancy potential:

The calculated mean irritation score was 0.

The test item was classified as non-irritant.

The positive and negative controls were within the historical control data range demonstrating the validity and sensitivity of the test.

### 9.1. Conclusions

According to the evaluation criteria the test item Diniobium Pentaoxide is classified as non-irritant to mucous membranes.

Under the conditions of the present study, it can be stated that no irritation can be expected if Diniobium Pentaoxide comes in contact with the eyes.

## 10. Aim of the Study

### 10.1. Justification for Selection of the Test System

This study was performed to assess the eye irritancy potential of the test item using the chorioallantoic membrane (CAM) of incubated hens' eggs.

The potential irritancy of compounds may be detected observing adverse changes that occur in the chorioallantoic membrane of the egg after exposure to test chemicals.

Chemicals are placed directly onto the chorioallantoic membrane of the hen's egg. Hens' eggs are rotated in an incubator for 9 d, after which any defective eggs are discarded. The shell around the air cell is removed and the inner membranes are extracted to reveal the chorioallantoic membrane. The test chemicals are added to the membrane and left in contact for 5 min. The membrane is examined for vascular damage and the time taken for injury to occur is recorded. Irritancy is scored according to the severity (grade of reaction at the time of first occurrence = s) and speed at which damage occurs.

### 10.2. Justification for Selection of the Test Method

The occurrence of vascular injury or coagulation in response to a compound is the basis for employing this technique as an indication of the potential of a chemical to damage mucous membranes (in particular the eye) *in vivo*.

To validate CAM-data, reference substances are tested in parallel to the test item.

## 11. Materials and Methods

### 11.1. Characterisation of the Test Item

The test item and the information concerning the test item were provided by the Sponsor. All data related to the test item are the responsibility of the Sponsor and have not been verified by the test facility.

Name:	Diniobium Pentaoxide
Product:	Niobium Pentoxide (Nb <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> )
CAS no.:	1313-96-8
Batch no.:	AD/4199
Chemical name:	niobium pentoxide
Density:	~4.5 g / cm <sup>3</sup>
Active components:	Nb <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> - >98.5%
Colour:	pale yellow
Physical state:	solid
Storage:	at room temperature
Stability:	stable
Safety precautions:	Routine hygienic procedures were sufficient to assure personnel health and safety.

### 11.2. Preparation of the Test Item

The test item was tested as provided by the sponsor.

### 11.3. Incubation of Eggs

White eggs (White Leghorn) were obtained freshly fertilised from a chicken breeding centre.

The eggs were candled and any defective eggs were discarded.

The eggs were placed onto incubator trays with the ends against one another. The trays were placed into the incubator, which automatically rotates the eggs once every 4 hours. An optimum temperature of  $37.5 \pm 1$  °C and a relative humidity of 50 - 70% was maintained throughout the incubation period.

On day 9 the eggs were placed the large end upward without rotation, thus ensuring accessibility to the chorioallantoic membrane.

On day 10 the eggs were prepared for assaying.

#### 11.4. Assay Preparation

The egg shell was removed from the eggs' air chamber.

The membrane was carefully moistened with physiological saline 0.9% NaCl (B. Braun Melsungen, lot no. 9181A121, expiry date: March 2012) solution at 37 °C.

The eggs were replaced into the incubator until start of assaying (maximum of 30 min between opening the eggs and starting the assay).

Standard solutions were freshly prepared (except NaOH – stock solution) in appropriate solvents at room temperature.

#### 11.5. Assay Procedure

The egg was tabled out of the incubator, the NaCl solution was poured off and the membrane was carefully removed using tapered forceps. Eggs with injured blood vessels were discarded.

0.1 g of the test item were added to the chorioallantoic membrane (CAM).

The reactions on the CAM were observed over a period of 5 min. monitoring the appearance of:

H: Haemorrhage (bleeding)

L: Vascular lysis (blood vessel disintegration)

C: Coagulation (protein denaturation intra- and extravascular)

#### 11.6. Test Groups

6 eggs for the test item

2 eggs as negative controls treated with isotonic saline solution (physiological saline 0.9% NaCl) (B. Braun Melsungen, lot no. 9181A121, expiry date: March 2012)

4 eggs as positive controls:           2 eggs treated with NaOH (0.1 N)

2 eggs treated with SDS (1%)

### 11.7. Positive Control Substances:

Sodium hydroxide solution (0.1 N), NaOH, (Applichem, lot no. 7W008967, expiry date: January 2011) dissolved in aqua ad inj., (B. Braun Melsungen, Lot 7494A191, expiry date: November 2010)

Dodecyl sulfate sodium salt (1%), SDS, (Sigma, lot no. 098K0160, expiry date: 01 April 2010) dissolved in aqua ad inj., (B. Braun Melsungen, Lot 7494A191, expiry date: November 2010)

Validity: The CAM Assay is considered to be valid if the scores obtained with the positive control substances fall within the range of the reference control data:

NaOH (0.1 N)	IS = 15 ± 3
SDS (1%)	IS = 10 ± 2

### 11.8. Evaluation of Results

The time for each reaction to occur was recorded and an irritation score (IS) was calculated:

$$IS =$$

$$[(301 - \text{sec H})/300] \times 5 \times S + [(301 - \text{sec L})/300] \times 7 \times S + [(301 - \text{sec C})/300] \times 9 \times S$$

sec = second of first occurrence of reaction

H = Haemorrhage

L = Vascular Lysis

C = Coagulation

S = 0.1 if H, C, L is grade 1 (weak reaction)

S = 0.5 if H, C, L is grade 2 (moderate reaction)

S = 1 if H, C, L is grade 3 (strong reaction)

The mean score was calculated from irritation scores for each egg for each test group.

The results were evaluated according to table 1.

**Table 1: Evaluation of the CAM Assay**

---

<i>Mean Score</i>	<i>Evaluation</i>
0 - 1.9	not irritant
2.0 - 5.9	slight irritant
6.0 - 10.9	moderate irritant
11.0 - 21.0	severe irritant

---



## **12. Deviations from the Study Plan**

There was no deviation from the study plan.

## 13. Results and Discussion

The eye irritancy potential of the test item was investigated in the chorioallantoic membrane assay.

The test item was tested as provided by the sponsor in order to find any irritancy potential:

The calculated mean irritation score was 0.

As the test item was very heavy, it could not be rinsed.

The test item was classified as non-irritant.

The positive and negative controls were within the historical control data range demonstrating the validity and sensitivity of the test.

### 13.1. Conclusions

According to the evaluation criteria the test item Diniobium Pentaoxide is classified as non-irritant to mucous membranes.

Under the conditions of the present study, it can be stated that no irritation can be expected if Diniobium Pentaoxide comes in contact with the eyes.

**Table 2: Results of the Chorioallantoic Membrane Assay - Control**

Table 2		Results of the Chorioallantoic Membrane Assay					092570A-D	
Test day: 14 October 2009								
Controls	Haemorrhage in sec.	S	Lysis in sec.	S	Coagulation in sec.	S	IS	IS Mean
1-NaOH	12	1	22	1	103	1	17.27	
2	13	1	25	1	110	1	16.97	
<b>severe irritant</b>								<b>17.12</b>
1-NaCl	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	
<b>not irritant</b>								<b>0.00</b>
1-SDS 1%	35	1	100	1	220	0.5	10.34	
2	37	1	105	1	225	0.5	10.11	
<b>moderate irritant</b>								<b>10.23</b>

**Table 3: Results of the Chorioallantoic Membrane Assay – Test Item**

Table 3		Results of the Chorioallantoic Membrane Assay					092570B	
Test Day: 14 October 2009								
Test Item:	Haemorrhage in sec.	S	Lysis in sec.	S	Coagulation in sec.	S	IS	IS Mean
Diniobium Pentaoxide								
1-Code 1 / 100%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	
3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	
4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	
5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	
<b>not irritant</b>								<b>0.00</b>

## 14. Distribution of the Report

1 original (paper):	Sponsor
1 copy (paper):	BSL Bioservice
1 copy (electronic):	Sponsor

## 15. References

BSL BIOSERVICE, Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) No. 11-8-1




Helmrich, Ch. (1992)  
Evaluierung von Ersatzmethoden für den Draize-Test am Kaninchenauge  
Diss. Darmstadt

Luepke, N.P., Kemper, F.H. (1986)  
The HET-CAM Test: An Alternative to the Draize Eye Test  
Fd Chem. Toxic. 24, 495-496

Spielmann, H. (1994)  
HET-CAM Test  
From: Methods in Molecular Biology, Vol 43:  
In Vitro Toxicity Testing Proto-cols Edited by: S. O'Hare and C. K. Atterwill Copyright Humana  
Press Inc., Totowa, NJ

van Erp, Y.H.M., Weterings P.J. (1990)  
Eye Irritancy Screening for Classification of Chemicals  
Toxicology in Vitro 4, 267-269

## 16. Appendix – Certificate of Analysis

 <b>COMPANHIA BRASILEIRA DE METALURGIA E MINERAÇÃO</b> Córrego da Mata S/N - C.P. 08 - Araxá - Minas Gerais - Cep: 38.183-970 - Brasil Phone: (55-34) 3869-3000 - Facsimile: (55-34) 3869-3300			
<b>CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS</b>		NAME	DATE 06/22/2009
PRODUCT NIOBIUM PENTOXIDE HIGH PURITY	LOT AD/4199	SIZE	QUANTITY 9.0
MARK	CUSTOMER REACH	PACKAGING 1/1	
Element		Analysis	
% Nb <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>		99.2	
% LOI		0.1	
ppm Ta		707	
ppm Ti		1305	
ppm Fe		201	
ppm Si		91	
ppm P		85	
ppm S		<20	
ppm C		<30	
ppm Na		<10	
ppm K		78	
ppm Sn		<3	
ppm Pb		<1	
Size Distribution			
Screen (mm)		(% Analysis)	
Observation			
Emitted by		Approved by	
 // Leandro Oliveira Lima Chemist		 // Andrela Duarte Menezes Teixeira Lab. Manager	